IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

Charles Sowell Blalock,)
Plaintiff,)
) Civil Action No. 6:13-cv-02360-JMC
v.)
	ORDER AND OPINION
Carolyn W. Colvin,)
Acting Commissioner of the)
Social Security Administration,)
)
Defendant.)
)

This matter is before the court for review of the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation ("Report") (ECF No. 13), filed August 16, 2014, regarding Plaintiff Charles Sowell Blalock's ("Plaintiff") claim for Disability Insurance Benefits ("DIB") and Supplemental Security Income ("SSI") benefits under Titles II and XVI of the Social Security Act.

The Report recommends that the court affirm the Acting Commissioner Carolyn W. Colvin's ("the Acting Commissioner") decision that plaintiff was not under a disability as defined in the Social Security Act, as amended. (*Id.* at 2.) The Report sets forth the relevant facts and legal standards, which this court incorporates herein without a recitation.

The magistrate judge's Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The magistrate judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objections are made, and the court may accept, reject, or

6:13-cv-02360-JMC Date Filed 10/03/14 Entry Number 15 Page 2 of 2

modify, in whole or in part, the magistrate judge's recommendation or recommit the matter with

instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The parties were notified of their right to file objections. Plaintiff did not file any objections to

the Report. In the absence of objections to the magistrate judge's Report, this court is not required to

provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th

Cir. 1983). Instead, the court must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record

in order to accept the recommendation. Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th

Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note). Furthermore, failure to file specific

written objections to the Report results in a party's waiver of the right to appeal from the judgment of the

District Court based upon such recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140

(1985); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir.

1984).

After a thorough and careful review of the record, the court finds the magistrate judge's Report

provides an accurate summary of the facts and law in the instant matter. The court ACCEPTS the

magistrate judge's Report (ECF No. 13) and incorporates it herein by reference. For the reasons set out in

the Report, the Acting Commissioner's final decision is **AFFIRMED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

United States District Court Judge

J. Michelle Childs

October 3, 2014

Columbia, South Carolina

2